

SB05-152 Ballot Questions

Fall 2015		
ALMA	2A WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE CITIZENS OF THE TOWN OF ALMA, COLORADO, RE-ESTABLISH THE TOWN'S AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ALL SERVICES RESTRICTED SINCE 2005 BY TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, DESCRIBED AS "ADVANCED SERVICES," "TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES," AND "CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES," INCLUDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED HIGH BANDWIDTH SERVICES BASED ON FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES, UTILIZING COMMUNITY OWNED INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EXISTING FIBER OPTIC NETWORK, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, TO POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS THAT MAY INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AND RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERCIAL USERS WITHIN OR OUTSIDE THE TOWN, ANY LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SAID TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 NOTWITHSTANDING?	Pass 45-12
BAYFIELD	2E Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Bayfield be authorized to reestablish the right to provide high-speed internet services (advanced service), telecommunications services, and/or cable television services (all as defined in §29-27-102, Colorado Revised Statutes) to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners?	Pass 86-14%
BRIGHTON	Ballot Issue 2L WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE CITY OF BRIGHTON BE AUTHORIZED TO PROVIDE HIGH-SPEED INTERNET SERVICES (ADVANCED SERVICES) AND/OR TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, AND/OR CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES TO RESIDENTS, BUSINESSES, SCHOOLS, NONPROFIT ENTITIES AND OTHER USERS OF SUCH SERVICES, INCLUDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED HIGH BANDWIDTH SERVICES BASED ON FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES, EITHER DIRECTLY, AND/OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC AND/OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, AS EXPRESSLY PERMITTED BY §§ 29-27-101 TO 304, "COMPETITION IN UTILITY AND ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES," OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, WITHOUT LIMITING ITS HOME RULE AUTHORITY?	Pass 72-28%

CEDAREdge	2F Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Cedaredge, Colorado, have the legal ability to provide any or all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services," and "cable television services," as defined by the statute, including, but not limited to, any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to any existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, and residential or commercial users within the Town of Cedaredge?	Pass 75-25%
CRAIG	2A Without increasing taxes, shall the City of Craig, Colorado restore local authority that was denied to all local governments by the state legislature, and to foster a more competitive marketplace, be authorized to directly or indirectly provide high speed internet ("advanced services"), "telecommunications services", and/or "cable television services", to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, non-profit entities and other users of such services, through partnerships with private businesses and other entities, as expressly permitted by article 29, title 27 of the Colorado revised statutes?	Pass 83-17%
CRAWFORD	2D Without increasing taxes, shall the citizens of the Town of Crawford, Colorado re-establish their Town's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services," and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to the existing fiber optic network, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the Town and the service area of the Town's light and power enterprise?	Pass 77-23%

CRESTED BUTTE	Without increasing taxes, shall the Town Council of the Town of Crested Butte, Colorado, re-establish the authority to provide all services, restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as “advanced services” (high-speed internet), “telecommunications services” and “cable services”, including any new or improved high bandwidth services based on existing or future technologies, telecommunications services and cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, non-profit entities, governmental entities, and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly, to any and all service areas, with public or private sector partners?	Pass 55-45%
DELTA	2A Without increasing any taxes, shall the citizens of the City of Delta, Colorado re-establish their City's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services" and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the City of Delta?	Pass 71-29%
DURANGO	2C Without increasing taxes, shall the City of Durango be authorized to reestablish the right to provide high-speed internet services (advanced service), telecommunications services, and/or cable television services (all as defined in §29-27-102, Colorado Revised Statutes) to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners?	Pass 68-32%

FAIRPLAY	<p>2B WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE CITIZENS OF THE TOWN OF FAIRPLAY, COLORADO, RE-ESTABLISH THE TOWN'S AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ALL SERVICES RESTRICTED SINCE 2005 BY TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, DESCRIBED AS "ADVANCED SERVICES," "TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES," AND "CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES," INCLUDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED HIGH BANDWIDTH SERVICES BASED ON FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES, UTILIZING COMMUNITY OWNED INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EXISTING FIBER OPTIC NETWORK, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, TO POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS THAT MAY INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS, AND RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERCIAL USERS WITHIN OR OUTSIDE THE TOWN, ANY LIMITATION CONTAINED IN SAID TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 NOTWITHSTANDING?</p>	Pass 105-45
FORT COLLINS	<p>2B Without increasing taxes by this measure, shall the City of Fort Collins, in the exercise of its home-rule authority, have the right to provide, either directly, and/or indirectly with public and/or private sector partners, high-speed internet services, including but not limited to any new or improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies (advanced services), telecommunications services, and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services located within the boundaries of the City of Fort Collins growth management area, as expressly permitted by SB 05-152 (codified at Sections 29-27-101 to 304 of the Colorado Revised Statutes)?</p>	Pass 83-17%
FRASER	<p>Ballot Question 2 Shall the Town of Fraser be authorized to provide high-speed internet services (advanced services), telecommunications services and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, as expressly permitted by Colorado Revised Statutes §§ 29-27-101 to 304, "Competition in Utility and Entertainment Services," without limiting the Town's authority?</p>	Pass 80-20%

GUNNISON	2C Without increasing taxes, shall the City Council of the City of Gunnison, Colorado, re-establish the authority to provide all services, restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as “advanced services” (high speed internet), “telecommunications services” and “cable services”, including any new or improved high bandwidth services based on existing or future technologies, telecommunications services and cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, non-profit entities, governmental entities, and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly, to any and all service areas, with public or private sector partners?	Pass 74-26%
HAYDEN	Referendum 2E Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Hayden, Colorado have the legal ability to provide any and all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as “advanced service,” “telecommunications services,” and “cable television services,” as defined by the statute, including, but not limited to, any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to any existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, and residential or commercial users within the Town of Hayden?	Pass 77-23%
HOTCHKISS	2C Shall the town of Hotchkiss, without increasing taxes, and to restore local authority that was denied to all Local Governments by the state legislature, and to foster a more competitive marketplace, be authorized to provide high- speed internet (advanced services), telecommunications services and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly, with public or private sector partners as expressly permitted by Article 29, Title 27, of the Colorado Revised Statutes?	Pass 84-16%

<p>IGNACIO</p>	<p>2A Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Ignacio, Colorado have the legal ability to provide any or all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as "advanced service," "telecommunications service," and "cable television service", as defined by the Statute, including, but not limited to, any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned or other infrastructure, including but not limited to any existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly, or by contract, or by sale or purchase of resale of wholesale cable television service, telecommunications service or advanced service, with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, advanced service providers residential users, commercial users, schools, libraries, non-profit entities, and other users of such services within the Town of Ignacio, Colorado?</p>	<p>Pass 82-18%</p>
<p>LOVELAND</p>	<p>2C. Authorizing the City of Loveland to provide advanced service (high speed internet), cable television service, or telecommunications service. Without increasing taxes, and to restore local authority, shall the City of Loveland be authorized to provide advanced service (high speed internet), cable television service, or telecommunications service, directly or indirectly with public and/or private sector partners, to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, health care facilities, nonprofit entities, and other users of such services located within the boundaries of the City of Loveland or the service territory of the City of Loveland's power enterprise as expressly permitted by title 29, article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes?</p>	<p>Pass 83-17%</p>

OAK CREEK	<p>2A Without increasing taxes, shall Oak Creek, Colorado have the legal ability to provide any and all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as "advanced service," "telecommunications services," and "cable television services," as defined by the statute, specifically including new and improved high bandwidth services based on best available technologies, utilizing current and new community owned infrastructure to any existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, and residential or commercial users within the Town of Oak Creek, and that said service may be provided by the Town of Oak Creek alone or in partnership with other governmental, private or corporate, including non-profit, entities?</p>	Pass 76-24%
OURAY	<p>QUESTION 2E: Without increasing taxes, shall the citizens of the City of Ouray, Colorado re-establish their City's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services," and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to the existing fiber optic network, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the City?</p>	Pass 386-55
PAONIA	<p>2B Without increasing taxes, shall the citizens of the Town of A ballot question Paonia, Colorado re-establish their Town's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services," and "cable television services," including any new and improved high any ballot question bandwidth services based on future technologies ,utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to providers, residential or commercial users within the Town?</p>	Pass 321-87

RIDGEWAY	2B Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Ridgway be authorized to provide all services currently restricted by Section 29-27-201, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, defined therein as "advanced services", "telecommunications services" and "cable television services", including high speed fiber optic internet services and any new or improved telecommunication services, either directly or indirectly, or with other public or private parties including other telecommunication service providers, to commercial, residential and other non residential customers?	Pass 314-35
STEAMBOAT SPRINGS	2C Without increasing taxes, shall the City of Steamboat Springs, Colorado have the legal ability to provide any and all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as "advance service," "telecommunication services," and "cable television services," as defined by the statute, specifically including new and improved high bandwidth services based on best available technologies, utilizing current and new community owned infrastructure to any existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly, with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, and residential or commercial users within Routt County, and that said services may be provided by the City of Steamboat Springs alone or in partnership with other governmental, private, corporate, or non profit entities?	Pass 86-14%
TELLURIDE	2b Shall the Town of Telluride, without increasing taxes by this measure, be authorized to provide high-speed internet services (advanced service), telecommunication services, and/or cable television services as defined by § 29-27-101–304 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, including but not limited to any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities, and other users of such services, either directly, or indirectly with public and/or private sector partners, without limiting its home rule authority?	Pass 93-7%

THORNTON	No. 2T: Shall the City of Thornton, without increasing taxes, re-establish its authority to provide high-speed Internet services (advanced services), telecommunications services, cable television services, including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including, but not limited to the city's existing fiber optic network, to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, as expressly permitted by §§ 29-27-101 to 304, "Competition in Utility and Entertainment Services," of the Colorado Revised Statutes, without limiting its home rule authority?	Pass 79-21%
WINTER PARK	Shall the Town of Winter Park be authorized to provide high-speed Internet services (advanced services), telecommunications services and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, as expressly permitted by Colorado Revised Statutes §§ 29-27-101 to 304, "Competition in Utility and Entertainment Services," without limiting the Town's home rule authority?	Pass 245-71
YAMPA	2B Without increasing taxes, shall Yampa, Colorado have the legal ability to provide any and all services currently restricted by Title 29, Article 27, Part 1, of the Colorado Revised Statutes, specifically described as "advanced service," "telecommunications service," and "cable television service," as defined by the statute, specifically including new and improved high bandwidth services based on best available technologies, utilizing current and new community owned infrastructure and any new or existing fiber optic network, either directly, or indirectly with public or private sector service providers, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, and residential or commercial users within the Town of Yampa, alone or in partnership with other governmental, private or corporate, including non-profit, entities?	Pass 79-21%
Spring 2015		

GRAND JUNCTION	CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION REFERRED MEASURE 2A SHALL THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES BY THIS MEASURE, BE AUTHORIZED TO PROVIDE, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNER(S), HIGH-SPEED INTERNET SERVICES (ADVANCED SERVICE), TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND/OR CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES AS DEFINED BY § 29-27-101 TO 304 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY NEW AND IMPROVED HIGH BANDWIDTH SERVICE(S) BASED ON FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES, TO RESIDENTS, BUSINESSES, SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES, NONPROFIT ENTITIES AND OTHER USERS OF SUCH SERVICES, WITHOUT LIMITING ITS HOME RULE AUTHORITY?	Pass, 75%-22%
ESTES PARK	Without increasing taxes, shall the Town of Estes Park reestablish the Town's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by Title 29, Article 27 of the Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services" and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including, but not limited to the existing fiber optic network, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the Town and the service area of the Town's light and power enterprise?	Pass, Yes: 1652 No: 136
Fall 2014		
BOULDER	Shall the City of Boulder be authorized to provide high-speed Internet services (advanced services), telecommunications services, and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, as expressly permitted by §§ 29-27-101 to 304, "Competition in Utility and Entertainment Services," of the Colorado Revised Statutes, without limiting its home rule authority?	Pass, 17512-3551

CHERRY HILLS VILLAGE	<p>Shall the City of Cherry Hills Village, without increasing taxes by this measure, and to restore local authority that was denied to local governments by the Colorado General Assembly and foster a more competitive marketplace, be authorized to provide high-speed internet, including improved high bandwidth services based on new technologies, telecommunications services, and/or cable television services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, non-profit entities and other users of such services either directly or indirectly with public or private sector partners, as expressly permitted by Article 27, Title 29 of the Colorado Revised Statutes?</p>	PASS, 2362-613
RED CLIFF	<p>Shall the Town of Red Cliff be authorized to provide cable television, telecommunications and/or hi-speed internet services to residents, businesses, schools, libraries, nonprofit entities and other users of such services, either directly or indirectly through public or private sector partners?</p>	PASS, 56-24
WRAY	<p>WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL TH CITIZENS OF WRAY, COLORADO RE-ESTABLISH THEIR CITY'S RIGHTS TO PROVIDE ALL SERVICES AND FACILITIES RESTRICTED SINCE 2005 BY TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, DESCRIBED AS "ADVANCED SERVICES,' TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES' AND 'CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES,' INCLUDNG PROVIDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED BROADBAND SERVICES AND FACILITIES BASED ON FUTURE TECHONOLOGIES, UTILIZING EXISTING OR NEW COMMUNITIY OWNED INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE EXISTING FIBER OPTIC NETWORK, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, TO POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS THAT MAY INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS, RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERICAL USERS WITHIN THE CITY?</p>	PASS 3167-2461

YUMA	<p>WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL TH CITIZENS OF YUMA, COLORADO RE-ESTABLISH THEIR CITY'S RIGHTS TO PROVIDE ALL SERVICES AND FACILITIES RESTRICTED SINCE 2005 BY TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, DESCRIBED AS "ADVANCED SERVICES,' TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES' AND 'CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES,' INCLUDNG PROVIDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED BROADBAND SERVICES AND FACILITIES BASED ON FUTURE TECHONOLOGIES, UTILIZING EXISTING OR NEW COMMUNITIY OWNED INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE EXISTING FIBER OPTIC NETWORK, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, TO POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS THAT MAY INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS, RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERICAL USERS WITHIN THE CITY'S UTILITY SERVICE AREA?</p>	PASS, 71%-29%
Spring 2014		
Montrose	<p>Referred Measure "A"</p> <p>Without increasing taxes, shall the citizens ofthe City of Montrose, Colorado, re-establish their City's right to provide all services restricted since 2005 by title 29, article 27 ofthe Colorado Revised Statutes, described as "advanced services," "telecommunications services" and "cable television services," including any new and improved high bandwidth services based on future technologies, utilizing community owned infrastructure including but not limited to the existing fiber optic network, either directly or indirectly with public or private sector PARTNERS, to potential subscribers that may include telecommunications service providers, residential or commercial users within the City?</p>	PASS 3969-1396
Fall 2013		
CENTENNIAL	<p>Ballot Question 2G</p> <p>SHALL THE CITY OF CENTENNIAL, WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, AND TO RESTORE LOCAL AUTHORITY THAT WAS DENIED TO ALL LOCAL GOVERNMENTS BY THE STATE LEGISLATURE, AND TO FOSTER A MORE COMPETITIVE MARKETPLACE, BE AUTHORIZED TO INDIRECTLY PROVIDE HIGHSPEED INTERNET (ADVANCED SERVICES), TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, AND/OR CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES TO RESIDENTS, BUSINESSES, SCHOOLS, LIBRARIES, NONPROFIT ENTITIES AND OTHER USERS OF SUCH SERVICES, THROUGH COMPETITIVE AND NON-EXCLUSIVE PARTNERSHIPS WITH PRIVATE BUSINESSES, AS EXPRESSLY PERMITTED BY ARTICLE 29, TITLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</p>	PASS 76%-24%

Fall 2011		
LONGMONT	BALLOT QUESTION 2A: WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE CITIZENS OF THE CITY OF LONGMONT, COLORADO, RE-ESTABLISH THEIR CITY'S RIGHT TO PROVIDE ALLSERVICES RESTRICTED SINCE 2005 BY TITLE 29, ARTICLE 27 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, DESCRIBED AS "ADVANCES SERVICES," "TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES" AND "CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES," INCLUDING ANY NEW AND IMPROVED HIGH BANDWIDTH SERVICES BASED ON FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES, UTILIZING COMMUNITY OWNED INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE EXISTING FIBER OPTIC NETWORK, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERS, TO POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBERS THAT MAY INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE PROVIDERS, RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERCIAL USERS WITHIN THE CITY AND THE SERVICE AREA OF THE CITY'S ELECTIC UTILITY ENTERPRISE? Y/N	PASS: Yes 60.82% (13238), No 39.18% (8529)
Fall 2009		
Longmont	Ballot Issue 2C-- Authorization to allow the City to provide telecommunications services, advanced services and cable television services to residential and commercial users within the service area of the City's electric utility enterprise	Fail, yes 44%, no 56%